REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

This case has been carefully reviewed and analyzed, and reconsideration and favorable action is respectfully requested.

Claim 6 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as allegedly anticipated by Yoon (USP 6066090). Claim 6 requires a circuit main board, an image sensor coupled to the circuit main board and including a coupling transistor device with a housing having a peripheral portion, a lens seat disposed on the image sensor and including a connecting section engaging the peripheral portion and an image pickup cylinder section extending from the connecting section, and a lens coupled to the image pickup cylinder section.

The Examiner states that Yoon discloses a circuit main board (250c), an image sensor (image sensor chip 250e) including a coupling transistor device (planer chip 250e), a lens (lens 250b) seat and a lens (250b). This is incorrect.

In the specification of Yoon, the image sensor 250 is integrally onto a substantially planar chip 250e. According to this, the image sensor 250 and the planar chip 250e are two elements. However, Examiner states that the image sensor ship 250e is the image sensor of the application and the planer chip 250e is the coupling transistor device of the Application. The planar chip 250e disclosed by Yoon cannot be directed to two elements (image sensor and the coupling transistor device) of the Application and we confirm that Yoon does not teach or suggest to the **coupling transistor device** of the Application.

In the Office Action, page 3, lines 4-8 and 11-13, Examiner states:

A lens (lens 250b of Fig.10, see col.54-55) seat being disposed on said image sensor, said lens seat including a connection section (substrate 250c.....), and image pickup cylinder section (image sensor housing 46......)

A lens (lens 250b of Fig. 10) coupled to said pickup cylinder section (housing 46 of Fig. 10) of said lens seat,

A lens seat is provided to receive the lens such that the lens seat and the lens are two different elements. However, Examiner cited only one element (lens) to reject two different elements (lens seat and lens) of the application. Applicant respectfully respectively urges that the above rejection(s) of lens set and lens is improper because Yoon does not teach or suggest the lens seat. Furthermore, Applicant respectively confuses how a lens includes an image sensor housing.

Indeed, Yoon contains absolutely no teaching or suggestion the coupling transistor device and the lens seat of the application. Lacking such a teaching or suggestion, a determination of anticipation is improper.

Accordingly, by the remarks, it is believed that the rejection of claim 6 under U.S.C. 102(e) should be withdrawn, and the claim 6 should be allowable. It is further submitted that the amended claims 7-12 should be allowable as they are dependent upon the claim 6 that is believed to be allowable.

After the amendment to the claim, the anticipation rejections under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) are overcome. Applicant respectfully submits that the amended claim 3 is in a condition for allowance and requests a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

MR1683-291 APPLICATION NO: 09/766,623' AMENDMENT DATED 22 AUGUST 2003 REPLY TO OFFICE ACTION OF 29 MAY 2003

This Amendment has been prepared by Applicant and is being submitted by the undersigned attorney on Applicant's behalf.

Respectfully submitted,

David I. Klein

Reg. No: 33, 253

Dated: 22 ang. 2003

3458 Ellicott Center Drive Suite 101 Ellicott City, Maryland 21043

(410) 465-6678

04586 PATENT TRADEMARK OFFICE

Page 5 of 5